

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,134	04/20/2005	Emmanuel Dotaro	Q104303	6495
47382 7590 10/21/2009 Carmen Patti Law Group, LLC One N. LaSalle Street			EXAMINER	
			LI, SHI K	
44th Floor Chicago, IL 60	0602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532 134 DOTARO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Shi K. Li 2613 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.8 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/532,134 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakahira (U.S. Patent 6,879,783 B1) in view of Fatchi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,512,612 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Nakahira teaches in FIG. 11 an optical node comprising a first processing module (optical spatial switch 2C2), input from upstream side, output to downstream side, node control function part 2B, second processing module (router 2A) with destination-based buffer 2D (equivalent to memory of instant claim), coupling means (interface 2C1). Nakahira teaches in FIG. 11 that one of primary resources arriving at the input is dropped to switch function part via interface and data of secondary resource is added to optical spatial switch via interface. The difference between Nakahira and the claimed invention is that Nakahira does not teach analyzing occupancy of a received primary resource. Fatchi et al. teaches in FIG. 1 an optical network and in FIG. 2 an optical router. Fatchi et al. teaches in FIG. 2 capacity utilization estimator (CUE) and electrical switch 247 for time multiplexing packets to form optical data streams. Fatchi et al. teaches in col. 3, lines 8-15 that packets with same termination router destination can be multiplexed together. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Fatchi et al. with the optical node of Nakahira because the approach of Fatchi et al. combines traffic with same destination and increases

Application/Control Number: 10/532,134

Art Unit: 2613

efficiency. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine packets with the same destination to fully utilize capacity of a wavelength, as taught by Fatehi et al., in the optical node of Nakahira because the approach of Fatehi et al. combines traffic with same destination and increases efficiency.

Regarding claim 8, Nakahira teaches in FIG. 11 wavelength switch 2C2.

Regarding claim 10, Nakahira teaches in FIG. 11 packet router 2A.

## Allowable Subject Matter

 Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2613

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shi K. Li whose telephone number is 571 272-3031. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kenneth Vanderpuye can be reached on 571 272-3078. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

skl

17 October 2009

/Shi K Li/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613